

## UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
097021,956 02711798 KATZ			R	232/117
	WM41/0226 7		EXAMINER	
RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY LICENSING, L.P			MOO, S	
	9200 SUNSET BLVD., SUITE 1005 LOS ANGELES CA 90069		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS AMBELE	b CA YOUGY		2643	24
			DATE MAILED:	02/26/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/021,956

Applicant(s)

Katz

Examiner

Stella Woo

Group Art Unit 2643



.  X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 26, 2000</u>					
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matt in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expirelonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond with application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time m 37 CFR 1.136(a).	nin the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claim					
X Claim(s) <u>24-148</u>	is/are pending in the applicat				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
X Claim(s) <u>24-148</u>	is/are rejected.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requiremen					
Application Papers					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P1	ГО-948.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by	the Examiner.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	s 🗌 approved 🗆 disapproved.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority of	documents have been				
☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)					
☐ received in Application (certes dederoction from the International					
*Certified copies not received:					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U	J.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)					
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).					
<ul><li>☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li><li>☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li></ul>					
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLO	DWING PAGES				

Art Unit: 2643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The request filed on November 28, 2000 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/021,956 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 77-78, 81-82, 127-128 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Entenmann et al. (Entenmann) in view of the reference entitled "The AT&T Multi-Mode Voice Systems Full Spectrum Solutions for Speech Processing Applications" by Hester et al. (Hester).

Entenmann discloses a telephonic-interface control system for a game of chance comprising:

interface means (col. 2, lines 54-56), said interface means also receiving calling number identification signals and using said calling number identification signals for controlling certain operations (ANI signals are received and used to determine eligibility of the caller; col. 2, lines 7-13; col. 2, line 55 - col. 3, line 2);

voice generator means (announcement system 17);

processing means (control processor 8);

Application/Control Number: 09/021,956

Art Unit: 2643

qualification means (col. 2, line 65 - col. 3, line 4); means for storing (database 19).

Although Entenmann provides for a plurality of lotteries (plurality of formats) being controlled by the same system (col. 2, lines 47-48), it differs from claims 77-78, 81-82 in that it does not specify the use of DNIS for selecting from the plurality of formats. However, Hester teaches the well known use of DNIS for access to a plurality of formats (page 3, second paragraph) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate the use of DNIS, as taught by Hester, within the lottery system of Entenmann in order to automatically identify the selected lottery format from a plurality of lottery formats using DNIS.

4. Claims 24-76, 79-80, 83-88, 129-148 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Entenmann in view of Hester, as applied to claims 77-78, 81-82, 127-128 above, and further in view of Barr and Muller et al. (Muller).

The combination of Entenmann and Hester differs from claims 24-76, 79-80, 83-88, 129148 in that it does not specify a distinct indicia, or bar code number, co-related to at least a
portion of the identification number provided on the ticket. However, Barr teaches the well
known use of lottery ticket provided with a lottery number to be entered by dialing in to a
provided telephone number and Muller teaches the conventional use of a bar code number corelated to the lottery identification number for the purpose of providing a high level of security
when verifying winning tickets (Abstract) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/021,956

Art Unit: 2643

ordinary skill to incorporate the use of a lottery ticket, as taught by Barr, and the use of a bar code, as taught by Muller, within the combination of Entenmann and Hester.

5. Claims 89-126 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Entenmann, Hester, Barr and Muller, as applied to claims 24-76, 79-80, 83-88, 129-148 above, and further in view of Run, Jr. et al. (Run).

The combination differs from claims 89-126 in that it does not specify the use of visual indicia illustrative of a specific theme along with a name or numerical value associated with said specific theme. However, as shown by Run (Figs. 1, 2), it is well known in the lottery art to provide for visual indicia illustrating a specific theme along with identification of the particular lottery (which can be either name or numerical value). Since the combination clearly provides for a plurality of different lottery formats (Entenmann provides for a plurality of lotteries which can have different payoff amounts; col. 2, lines 42-48), it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to identify the different lottery formats via different visual indicia shown on the lottery card along with either the particular lottery name and/or payoff amount.

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306 and (703) 308-6296.

Art Unit: 2643

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella Woo whose telephone number is (703) 305-4395 and can normally be reached from 6:00 a.m. until 2:30 p.m., Monday through Friday.

February 24, 2001

STELLA WOO PRIMARY EXAMINER